



Case: File 013.2

VENUE: Virtual meeting

PRESENT:

Name	Position	Board Status
Ms. Lara Taylor-Pearce	Auditor General, Sierra Leone	Vice-Chair of the Board
Ms. Kristin Amundsen	Deputy Director General, Office of the Auditor General of Norway	Board Member
Ms. Åse-Kristin Hemsén	Deputy Director General, Office of the Auditor General of Norway	Board Member
Ms. Pamela Monroe-Ellis	Auditor General, Jamaica and Secretary General CAROSAI	Board Member
Ms. Marta Acosta Zuniga	Auditor General, Costa Rica	Board Member
Ms. Helena Lindberg	Auditor General, Swedish National Audit Office	Board member
Ms. Eleonora Almeida and Mr. Rui Mourato	SAI Portugal	Representing Board Member Mr. Vitor Manuel da Silva Caldeira
Mr. Jan Van Schalkwyk	Executive Director, Office of Auditor General of South Africa	Representing Board Member Mr. Kimi Makwetu
Ms. Monika Gonzalez-Koss	Director, Court of Audit, Austria	Representing Board Member Dr. Margit Kraker
Ms. Johanna Gardmark	Project Director, Swedish National Audit Office and CBC Secretariat	Accompanying Board member Ms. Helena Lindberg
Mr. Einar Gørrissen	Director General	IDI Secretariat
Ms. Archana Shirsat	Deputy Director General	IDI Secretariat
Mr. Ola Hoem	Deputy Director General	IDI Secretariat
Mr. Ole Schøyen	Deputy Director General	IDI Secretariat
Mr. Martin Aldcroft	Strategic Advisor	IDI Secretariat
Ms. Petra Schirnhöfer	Strategic Advisor	IDI Secretariat

Apologies: Mr. Per-Kristian Foss (Chair, Auditor General, Norway), Mr. Kimi Makwetu (Member, Auditor General, South Africa), Mr. Vitor Manuel da Silva Caldeira (President SAI of Portugal), Dr. Margit Kraker (President of the Court of Audit, Austria and Secretary General, INTOSAI)

1. SUMMARY OF DECISION POINTS

Decision Point 1: Does the IDI Board agree to the above four work streams forming the core of IDI's capacity development offer in the 2019-23 Strategic Plan?

The Board agreed to the four workstreams, but requested the explanation of these was expanded and that the links to the INTOSAI strategic plan goals, strategic objectives and cross-cutting priorities was articulated.

Decision Point 2: Does the IDI Board agree that IDI should provide support to SAIs at the above four levels?

The Board agreed that IDI should provide support at the four levels indicated.

Decision Point 3: Does the IDI Board agree to further integrating a gender perspective throughout the IDI Strategic Plan?

The Board agreed to integrating a gender perspective throughout the plan.

Decision Point 4: Does the IDI Board agree that IDI should seek to grow by around 40% to support implementation of this Strategic Plan?

The Board recognised that implementing the workstreams required growth, and that gradual growth towards this level seemed feasible and desirable. However, the Board preferred not to give an estimation of the growth level until IDI develops the supporting Operational Plans.

Decision Point 5: Does the IDI Board agree that IDI should include the above four global foundations within its 2019-23 Strategic Plan? (And that how these are delivered will depend on decisions on the next steps for the INTOSAI-Donor Cooperation).

The Board agreed to the four global foundations of the strategic plan, but again requested the explanation of these was expanded and that the links to the INTOSAI strategic plan goals, strategic objectives and cross-cutting priorities was articulated.

Decision Point 6: Regarding the breadth and depth of support, which of the three broad models above should IDI work towards during its 2019-23 strategic plan?

The Board agreed to pursue the mixed-model of support (enabler and provider), and requested that the model reiterates IDI's role as an implementing body (e.g. not standard setter) to better shows its relation and role compared to other INTOSAI bodies.

Decision Point 7: Does the IDI Board agree with the proposed strategic direction relating to strategic partnerships and stronger partners?

The Board agreed to the proposed direction relating to strategic partnerships and stronger partners.

Decision Point 8: Does the IDI Board agree with the above approach to targeting support to SAIs?

The Board agreed with the proposed two-stream approach to targeting support to SAIs, but noted the need to be clear on the exit strategies under both streams – knowing when to stop providing support.

Decision Point 9: Does the IDI Board agree with the suggestion of ensuring a balanced portfolio, and the need to develop IDI support principles and policy? Does the Board have any input to the initial suggestions for this policy?

The Board agreed to maintaining a balanced portfolio with 'something for everyone' and agreed that a policy on how to apply the principles of readiness and conditions should be developed for future consideration by the Board.

Decision Point 10: Does the IDI Board agree with the above IDI Vision, Mission and Principles?

The Board agreed with the proposed content of the vision, mission and principles, but requested consideration be given to the language used, to increase harmonization with the INTOSAI Strategic Plan / ISSAI 12, and to clarify that IDI contributes to (but is not responsible for) SAI performance improvements.

Decision Point 11: Does the IDI Board agree with the above IDI Value Proposition?

The Board agreed with the proposed value proposition, requesting that the four workstreams were reiterated in the final paragraph.

Decision Point 12: Does the IDI Board agree with the above (draft) results framework for the 2019-23 IDI Strategic Plan?

The Board took note of the draft results framework, and suggested that (1) the presentation could be simplified to remove the examples and focus on the key issues (2) that the four workstreams were reflected again in the SAI outcome columns.

2. NEXT STEPS AND CLOSING

IDI agreed to send out a summary of the decision points, and to update and share drafts of the IDI vision, mission and principles.

IDI noted that the next step in the strategic plan process would be production of a first draft strategic plan, for comment by the Board, around end of August, and an amended draft plan to be published for consultation during September.

The IDI Director General formally closed the meeting on behalf of the Vice-Chair.